

## Making Sense of Puzzling Genetic Association Studies: A Team Approach

Osteoporosis affects approximately 5 to 8 million Americans older than 50 years of age, and the lifetime risk for osteoporotic fracture is approximately 40% in white women and at least 13% in men (1, 2). Low bone mineral density (BMD) is the major clinical indicator of osteoporotic disease. However, the proportion of fractures attributable to osteoporosis by low BMD is modest, ranging from less than 10% to 44% for specific fracture types and only approximately 15% for all fractures (3). No single factor, such as BMD, can explain osteoporosis, which is a complex metabolic disease caused by actions and interactions among multiple genes, gene products, and environmental factors. These actions and interactions translate to loss of BMD, bone microarchitecture, and bone strength, as well as to nontraumatic fractures. Older women with a parental history of hip fracture have a 2-fold higher risk for hip fracture than those without such a history (4). Family and twin studies indicate that inherited characteristics are responsible for 50% to 80% of the phenotypic variation in traits related to low BMD and fracture (5).

The vitamin D receptor (*VDR*) gene has been a particular target of investigation. Vitamin D regulates bone formation and resorption, intestinal calcium absorption, calcium and phosphate homeostasis, and parathyroid hormone secretion. Vitamin D modulates expression of many genes by first interacting with *VDR*, which then forms complexes that bind to regulator gene regions. Allelic variations in *VDR* might affect the ability to bind vitamin D, which would disrupt vitamin D actions and consequently increase the risk for osteoporosis and fracture. More than 10 years ago, Morrison and colleagues (6) reported the association between *VDR* variants and BMD. This observation initiated a wave of association studies relating *VDR* variants to osteoporosis (7). The biological pathways affected by the common variants, *FokI*, *BsmI*, *ApaI*, and *TaqI*, and their related haplotypes remain unclear; however, some studies have suggested that the haplotypes affect pathways leading to variations in bone mass and fracture risk (8). The more recently characterized *Cdx2* polymorphism in the *VDR* promoter influences intestinal transcription of the *VDR* gene and may be associated with fracture risk (9, 10), but studies have not consistently shown an association with BMD (11, 12).

It is easy to forget that these studies of associations between genes and diseases use the traditional epidemiologic tools of population studies. Investigators have the same concerns associated with any epidemiologic study: having appropriate design and analytic approaches, sufficient sample size and statistical power, and minimal bias and confounding. Despite hundreds of association studies and retrospective meta-analyses of polymorphisms in more

than 30 genes that are associated with BMD and fractures, no convincing conclusions have emerged (13). The *VDR* gene is no exception. To try to address this issue, investigators have reported retrospective meta-analyses of published studies. For example, a recent meta-analysis by Fang and colleagues (14) has shown no relationship between the *VDR BsmI* or *TaqI* polymorphisms and fracture risk. However, these retrospective meta-analyses typically have significant between-study heterogeneity and biases. Between-study heterogeneity refers to dissimilarity, more than expected by chance, among the estimates of strength of association in the individual studies. Possible causes of dissimilarity include variation in allele frequencies, disease expression, effects of other genetic markers, or disease susceptibility across study samples. Genuine heterogeneity may be difficult to distinguish from the effects of publication or misclassification bias in meta-analyses (15). Lack of standardized genotyping methods and phenotype definitions across studies and publication bias, whereby positive associations are more likely to be published, are major contributing problems to heterogeneity, which in turn makes it difficult to draw conclusions from a body of research.

In this issue, the multicenter association study by Uitterlinden and colleagues (16) has combined individual-patient data from several European prospective cohort and cross-sectional studies. By forming a collaborative consortium, the Genetic Markers for Osteoporosis (GENOMOS) study was able to assess the association of controversial *VDR* polymorphisms and BMD and fracture risks among 23 926 unrelated men and women. These investigators found that the functional *Cdx2* polymorphism was associated with a reduced risk for incident fracture, particularly vertebral fracture, but the small effect was of borderline statistical significance. In contrast to findings of some smaller individual association studies, *FokI* and the *BsmI*–*ApaI*–*TaqI* haplotype were not associated with BMD or fracture phenotypes.

Genetic Markers for Osteoporosis, the largest collaborative network of studies in osteoporosis genetics, represents a new team approach to quantifying the association between suspected genes and osteoporosis-related outcomes (16). This large-scale prospective approach has key advantages over individual association studies and retrospective meta-analyses. Uitterlinden and colleagues could better minimize between-study heterogeneity and bias by standardizing genotyping methods, outcome definitions, and covariate data collection across studies; controlling for confounding; and improving statistical power to detect modest genetic associations. Moreover, such a large study has greater precision to interpret small effect sizes. When published and unpublished data were included in their col-

laborative study, concern about publication bias was reduced. In genetic association studies, Mendelian randomization also helps to minimize reporting or selection bias. Mendelian randomization refers to the random assortment of alleles from parents to offspring during conception and gamete formation. This leads to population distributions of genetic variants that are generally independent of environmental factors, which often confound nongenetic epidemiologic association studies.

Reports from GENOMOS indicate that multiple genes have a small or modest effect on osteoporotic fracture risk and that several polymorphisms might affect fracture risk through a mechanism at least in part independent of BMD. To date, GENOMOS has found null to modest associations between fracture-related factors and previously controversial polymorphisms in *VDR*, estrogen receptor- $\alpha$  (*ESR1*), and collagen type I- $\alpha$  1 (*COL1A1*) (16–18). As Uitterlinden and colleagues noted, the *Cdx2* polymorphism and other functional polymorphisms in the *VDR* promoter region are in linkage disequilibrium, which means that the association of alleles among the polymorphic sites is not random. This finding implies that *Cdx2* or the polymorphisms in linkage disequilibrium with *Cdx2* affect fracture risk through a mechanism largely independent of BMD. Likewise, some polymorphisms in *ESR1* and *COL1A1* may be associated with fracture risk, independent of BMD, whereas others are associated with neither BMD nor fracture risk (17, 18).

Large-scale prospective collaborative studies, such as GENOMOS, can identify multiple genes of modest effect and genetic and environmental interactions and provide insights into osteoporosis pathogenesis. Osteoporosis genes are potential future targets for designing new drugs to prevent or treat disease. Susceptibility polymorphisms may aid in assessing persons at high risk or in distinguishing patients who respond to treatment from those who do not. Polymorphisms that are independent of BMD could be used along with BMD to help target preventive therapies to persons at higher risk. The Human Genome Epidemiology Network ([www.cdc.gov/genomics/hugenet](http://www.cdc.gov/genomics/hugenet)) is a global collaborative network of consortia formed to prospectively develop and combine knowledge bases on human genetic variants for multiple diseases (19). Recent advances in high-throughput genotyping methods and the influx of validated single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the human genome have now made genome-wide association studies possible (20). In this context, such networks as GENOMOS will facilitate execution and correct interpretation of such studies for osteoporosis and other disease outcomes.

In the next quarter-century, the number of persons affected by osteoporosis and related fractures will double. Understanding the pathogenesis of osteoporosis will require characterizing the interplay among multiple gene variants, gene products, environmental mediators, and bone, which will be an essential step toward discovery of

drugs that target the biological mechanism causing osteoporosis. In GENOMOS, Uitterlinden and colleagues show that multicenter collaborative studies are crucial to efficiently and correctly identifying genes involved in osteoporosis and other complex diseases.

Jennifer S. Lee, MD, PhD

University of California, Davis  
San Francisco, CA 94110

Margaret A. Tucker, MD

National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, MD 20892

**Grant Support:** By the Intramural Research Program of the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health.

**Potential Financial Conflicts of Interest:** None disclosed.

**Requests for Single Reprints:** Margaret A. Tucker, MD, Genetic Epidemiology Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, 6120 Executive Boulevard, EPS 7122, Bethesda, MD 20892-7236; e-mail, [tuckerp@exchange.nih.gov](mailto:tuckerp@exchange.nih.gov).

Current author addresses are available at [www.annals.org](http://www.annals.org).

*Ann Intern Med.* 2006;145:302-304.

## References

1. Looker AC, Orwoll ES, Johnston CC Jr, Lindsay RL, Wahner HW, Dunn WL, et al. Prevalence of low femoral bone density in older U.S. adults from NHANES III. *J Bone Miner Res.* 1997;12:1761-8. [PMID: 9383679]
2. Melton LJ 3rd, Chrischilles EA, Cooper C, Lane AW, Riggs BL. Perspective. How many women have osteoporosis? *J Bone Miner Res.* 1992;7:1005-10. [PMID: 1414493]
3. Stone KL, Seeley DG, Lui LY, Cauley JA, Ensrud K, Browner WS, et al. BMD at multiple sites and risk of fracture of multiple types: long-term results from the Study of Osteoporotic Fractures. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2003;18:1947-54. [PMID: 14606506]
4. Cummings SR, Nevitt MC, Browner WS, Stone K, Fox KM, Ensrud KE, et al. Risk factors for hip fracture in white women. Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1995;332:767-73. [PMID: 7862179]
5. Peacock M, Turner CH, Econs MJ, Foroud T. Genetics of osteoporosis. *Endocr Rev.* 2002;23:303-26. [PMID: 12050122]
6. Morrison NA, Qi JC, Tokita A, Kelly PJ, Crofts L, Nguyen TV, et al. Prediction of bone density from vitamin D receptor alleles. *Nature.* 1994;367:284-7. [PMID: 8161378]
7. Liu YZ, Liu YJ, Recker RR, Deng HW. Molecular studies of identification of genes for osteoporosis: the 2002 update. *J Endocrinol.* 2003;177:147-96. [PMID: 12740006]
8. Zmuda JM, Cauley JA, Ferrell RE. Molecular epidemiology of vitamin D receptor gene variants. *Epidemiol Rev.* 2000;22:203-17. [PMID: 11218372]
9. Fang Y, van Meurs JB, Bergink AP, Hofman A, van Duijn CM, van Leeuwen JP, et al. *Cdx-2* polymorphism in the promoter region of the human vitamin D receptor gene determines susceptibility to fracture in the elderly. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2003;18:1632-41. [PMID: 12968672]
10. Arai H, Miyamoto KI, Yoshida M, Yamamoto H, Taketani Y, Morita K, et al. The polymorphism in the caudal-related homeodomain protein *Cdx-2* binding element in the human vitamin D receptor gene. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2001;16:1256-64. [PMID: 11450701]
11. Macdonald HM, McGuigan FE, Stewart A, Black AJ, Fraser WD, Ralston S, et al. Large-scale population-based study shows no evidence of association between common polymorphism of the *VDR* gene and BMD in British women. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2006;21:151-62. [PMID: 16355284]

12. Morita A, Iki M, Dohi Y, Ikeda Y, Kagamimori S, Kagawa Y, et al. Effects of the Cdx-2 polymorphism of the vitamin D receptor gene and lifestyle factors on bone mineral density in a representative sample of Japanese women: the Japanese Population-based Osteoporosis (JPOS) Study. *Calcif Tissue Int*. 2005;77:339-47. [PMID: 16362455]
13. Shen H, Liu Y, Liu P, Recker RR, Deng HW. Nonreplication in genetic studies of complex diseases—lessons learned from studies of osteoporosis and tentative remedies. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2005;20:365-76. [PMID: 15746981]
14. Fang Y, Rivadeneira F, van Meurs JB, Pols HA, Ioannidis JP, Uitterlinden AG. Vitamin D receptor gene BsmI and TaqI polymorphisms and fracture risk: a meta-analysis. *Bone*. 2006. [PMID: 16769262]
15. Ioannidis JP, Trikalinos TA, Ntzani EE, Contopoulos-Ioannidis DG. Genetic associations in large versus small studies: an empirical assessment. *Lancet*. 2003;361:567-71. [PMID: 12598142]
16. Uitterlinden AG, Ralston SH, Brandi ML, Carey AH, Grinberg D, Langdahl BL, et al. The association between common vitamin D receptor gene variations and osteoporosis: a participant-level meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med*. 2006;145:255-64.
17. Ioannidis JP, Ralston SH, Bennett ST, Brandi ML, Grinberg D, Karassa FB, et al. Differential genetic effects of ESR1 gene polymorphisms on osteoporosis outcomes. *JAMA*. 2004;292:2105-14. [PMID: 15523071]
18. Ralston SH, Uitterlinden AG, Brandi ML, Balcells S, Langdahl BL, Lips P, et al. Large-scale evidence for the effect of the COL1A1 Sp1 polymorphism on osteoporosis outcomes: the GENOMOS study. *PLoS Med*. 2006;3:e90. [PMID: 16475872]
19. Ioannidis JP, Gwinn M, Little J, Higgins JP, Bernstein JL, Boffetta P, et al. A road map for efficient and reliable human genome epidemiology. *Nat Genet*. 2006;38:3-5. [PMID: 16468121]
20. Zmuda JM, Sheu YT, Moffett SP. The search for human osteoporosis genes. *J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact*. 2006;6:3-15. [PMID: 16675885]

#### MANUSCRIPT PROCESSING AND TURNAROUND

*Annals* sends about half of the submitted manuscripts for peer review and publishes about 10% of the submitted material. The 2005 processing and notification turnaround time for manuscripts that were rejected without external peer review was within 1 week for 75% and within 2 weeks for 90%. The processing and notification turnaround time for manuscripts that were received and rejected after external peer review was within 8 weeks for 80% and within 10 weeks for 90%.

**Current Author Addresses:** Dr. Lee: University of California, Davis, 1001 Potrero Avenue, Box 0862, San Francisco, CA 94110.  
Dr. Tucker: Genetic Epidemiology Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of

Health, 6120 Executive Boulevard, EPS 7122, Bethesda, MD 20892-7236.